



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/087,132 07/02/93 GREGORY

R IGI012CN

18M2/0207

MARK A. HOFER, ESQUIRE  
GENEZYME CORPORATION, LEGAL DEPARTMENT  
NO. 1 MOUNTAIN ROAD  
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701

CARLSON  
EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1814

35

DATE MAILED:

02/07/96

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rochelle Seide, Atty (3) Victor Donahue, Atty  
(2) Elizabeth Jasson, Atty (4) Robert Wax, Atty RPE  
Karen Cochrane Carlson  
Date of interview Jan 31, 1996

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 164-201

Identification of prior art discussed: Gregory et al. 1990 Nature 347:382-386.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. will incorporate essential material from Gregory et al. for enablement of cryptic promoters at bp 908-936. Agreement was reached regarding scope: 1) DNA encoding CTR, 2) silent mutations through 908-936, 3) any intron placed downstream of this cryptic promoter, 4) low copy plasmids or plasmids kept at low copy comprising DNA encoding CTR and 5) host = E. coli. Declaration evidence, preferably by a non-profitting artisan, will be considered, such evidence possibly increasing the scope further.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Karen Cochrane Carlson  
Examiner's Signature